

Location reference:	Pendennis Point to Rosemullion Head
Management Area reference:	MA13
Policy Development Zone:	PDZ5



PREFERRED POLICY TO IMPLEMENT PLAN:	
From present day (0-20 years)	NAI along undefended cliff sections. HTL along Castle Beach and Gyllyngvase frontages. HTL at Swanpool. HTL at Maenporth.
Medium term (20-50 years)	NAI along undefended cliff sections. HTL along Castle Beach and Gyllyngvase frontages. MR at Swanpool. MR at Maenporth.
Long term (50-100 years)	NAI along undefended cliff sections. HTL along Castle Beach and Gyllyngvase frontages. MR at Swanpool. MR at Maenporth.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC POLICIES

Policy Unit		SMP1 Policy	SMP2 Policy Plan			
		50 yrs	2025	2055	2105	Comment
13.1	Undefended cliff sections	Do nothing	NAI	NAI	NAI	To meet wider objectives of Fal and Helford SAC.
13.2	Castle Beach and Gyllyngvase	Hold the line	HTL	HTL	HTL	Defences along the rear of Castle Beach and running through to Gyllyngvase Beach front a heavily developed frontage with no scope for realignment. Coast processes pressures on the frontage are light, although some coastal squeeze is likely to occur due to sea level rise and this should continue to be monitored. A continued hold the line policy is preferred along this frontage.
13.3	Swanpool	Hold the line	HTL	MR	MR	Partially natural defence provided by beach and sandy/earth bund along rear of beach is reported to provide current 1:5 year protection from tidal flooding. HTL in the short term should provide scope to assess in more detail the implications of a managed realignment approach (particularly with respect to the Swanpool habitat features).
13.4	Maenporth	Hold the line	HTL	MR	MR	Partially natural defence provided by beach and sandy/earth bund along rear of beach is reported to provide current 1:5 year protection from tidal flooding. HTL in the short term should provide scope to assess in more detail the implications of a managed realignment approach.
Key: HTL - Hold the Line, A - Advance the Line, NAI – No Active Intervention MR – Managed Realignment						

PREDICTED IMPLICATIONS OF THE PREFERRED PLAN

Policy Unit		Theme				
		Property & Land Use	Nature Conservation	Landscape	Historic Environment	Amenity & Recreational Use
13.1	Undefended cliff sections	No impacts	Preferred plan will promote non-interventional approach and will support the core objectives of the Fal & Helford SAC	Preferred plan will support the landscape value and the AONB designation which covers the lower part of the undefended frontage	No key historical sites are expected to be at risk.	Recreational use and access to the coast would be supported by the plan, including SW coast path.
13.2	Castle Beach and Gyllyngvase	Preferred plan will support retention of cliff road and protection of properties along the Castle Beach to Gyllyngvase frontage.	The shoreline and its habitats will continue to be heavily modified by defences and natural processes will be constrained. This could lead to coastal squeeze and reduction in intertidal habitat area.	The landscape will continue to be dominated by the developed nature of the frontage and the continuous defences from Pendennis Point to Gyllyngvase.	No implications.	Recreational use and access to Castle Beach & Gyllyngvase Beach would be supported by the plan. Coastal squeeze could lead to loss of beach area in longer term.
13.3	Swanpool	Plan may affect land use at rear of beach and road. No properties affected.	Potential gradual change managed to the Swanpool habitat under the preferred plan.	Landscape may be altered under realignment but not adversely.	Pillbox on NE side of foreshore potentially affected.	Recreational use and access to Swanpool Beach would be supported by the plan. Realignment would aim to reduce beach loss due to coastal squeeze.
13.4	Maenporth	Realignment would have limited impacts on property boundaries. Road may be affected.	Plan would increase intertidal foreshore area.	Landscape may be altered under realignment but not adversely.	Historical features including pillbox, boundary stone, mine, submarine forest and boat house all in vicinity of the foreshore could potentially be affected by realignment.	Recreational use and access to Maenporth Beach would be supported by the plan. Realignment would aim to reduce beach loss due to coastal squeeze.